

#### ADDENDUM NO. 2

Owner:	Sabine River Authority of Texas	
	N Bayou Rd & Bayou Fork Rd Roadway and Drainage Improvements -	
Project:	RFB 23-1210	
Project No.:	SRA22771	
Addendum No.	2	
Addendum Date:	October 24, 2023	

The following additions, deletions, changes, or clarifications to the proposal documents are hereby made a part of the originally issued documents for the above referenced project as fully and as completely as though the same were included therein. Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided on the Bid Form, Article 5.03.

Approved by:	Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Name:	Scott Kirby, P.E.
Date:	October 24, 2023
PROF	SCOTT W. KIRBY 93651 CENSEO WONAL ENG
FREES TE: EN	E AND NICHOLS, INC. XAS REGISTERED IGINEERING FIRM F-2144



#### **Clarification:**

#### *Bid Item Number 6 – "Chip Seal" will consist of the following component parts:*

- The Seal Coat will consist of ASPH(AC-20-5TR or AC-20XP) applied at 0.32 Gal/ SY and AGGR(Type-PB Gr-4 or Ty-PL Gr-4 SAC-A) applied at 1/130 CY/SY.
- Two (2) pass application of chip seal.
- Payment for Item 6 "Chip Seal" will be made by the SY, which includes the seal coat and aggregate.

#### A copy of the Geotechnical Report has been attached for reference.

• The attached Geotechnical Report recommends a different pavement design from the plan set. The design of the roadway section was modified during value engineering. The report should be used for existing condition information only.

See Geotechnical Report Attached

[END OF ADDENDUM NO.2]

# **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT**



# SRA TOLEDO BEND FISHING TOURNAMENT SITE

HEMPHILL, TEXAS

# **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT**

SRA Toledo Bend Fishing Tournament Site Hemphill, Texas

Prepared by:



Riner Engineering, Inc.

Prepared for:

Freese and Nichols, Inc. 10497 Town and Country Way, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77024

Attention: Mr. James "JB" Ferguson

March 22, 2023

RINER Project No. 22-0769

TEXAS ENGINEERING FIRM REGISTRATION NO. F-17076



March 22, 2023

Mr. James "JB" Ferguson Freese and Nichols, Inc. 10497 Town and Country Road, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77024

Re: GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT SRA Toledo Bend Fishing Tournament Site Hemphill, Texas RINER Project No. 22-0769

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

Riner Engineering, Inc. (RINER) is pleased to submit this Geotechnical Engineering Report for the referenced project. We appreciate the opportunity of working with you. Please contact us if you have any questions or require additional services.

Respectfully submitted,

Aril Mohammal AZ

Arif Mohammad Aziz, M.S., E.I.T. Project Engineer

Hamed Ardalan, Ph.D., P.E. Vice President - Engineering Director



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	Intr	roduction1
2.0	Fiel	Id Investigation 2
3.0	Lab	ooratory Testing
4.0	Site	e Conditions 4
4.1	C	General
4.2	S	Soil
4.3	C	Groundwater5
5.0	Ana	alysis and Recommendations 6
5.1	F	Potential Vertical Soil Movements
5.2	C	Construction Excavations
5.3	C	Groundwater Control
5.4	E	Earthwork
5	5.4.1	Site Preparation
5	5.4.2	Proofroll
5	5.4.3	Grading and Drainage
5	5.4.4	Wet Weather/Soft Subgrade
5	5.4.5	Fill
5	5.4.6	Testing10
5.5	0	Demolition Considerations
5.6	L	_oading on Buried Structures11
5.7	E	Buried Pipe11
5.8	F	Flexible Pavement Recommendations12
5	5.8.1	Flexible Pavement 13
5	5.8.2	Pavement Subgrade 14
6.0	Ger	neral Comments

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A - Project Location Diagrams

- Appendix B Boring Location Diagram
- Appendix C Boring Logs and Laboratory Results
- Appendix D Site Photographs
- Appendix E Geologic Information
- Appendix F Unified Soil Classification Syst

# **GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT**

SRA Toledo Bend Fishing Tournament Site Hemphill, Texas

## **1.0** INTRODUCTION

<u>Project Location</u>. The project alignment includes North Bayou Road from Old Sabinetown Road to Bayou Fork Road, Bayou Fork Road from North Bayou Road to Vantage Point Road, and Vantage Point Road from Bayou Fork Road to Bonnies Lane, in Hemphill, Texas. The general location and orientation of the site are provided in Appendix A - Project Location Diagrams.

<u>Project Description</u>. The project consists of the proposed replacement of approximately 3.75-miles gravel roadways with a two-lane asphalt pavement.

<u>Project Authorization</u>. This geotechnical investigation was authorized by Ms. Stephanie Stephenson with Freese and Nichols, Inc. and performed in accordance with RINER Proposal No. P22-0763 dated September 8, 2022.

<u>Purpose and Methodology</u>. The principal purposes of this investigation were to evaluate the general soil conditions at the proposed site and to develop geotechnical engineering design recommendations. To accomplish its intended purposes, the study was conducted in the following phases:

- 1. Drill sample borings to evaluate the soil conditions at the boring locations and to obtain soil samples;
- 2. Conduct laboratory tests on selected samples recovered from the borings to establish the pertinent engineering characteristics of the soils; and
- 3. Perform engineering analyses, using field and laboratory data, to develop design criteria.

<u>Required Review</u>. Detailed design plans and traffic information were not available at the time of preparation of this report. Recommendations in our report are contingent upon RINER reviewing and approving in writing the following design items prior to construction:

- Site grading plan,
- Detailed traffic information, and
- Detailed plans and cross-sections of the pavement.

<u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Use of this Report</u>. As with any geotechnical engineering report, this report presents technical information and provides detailed technical recommendations for civil and structural engineering design and construction purposes.

RINER, by necessity, has assumed the user of this document possesses the technical acumen to understand and properly utilize the information and recommendations provided herein. RINER strives to be clear in its presentation and, like the user, does not want potentially detrimental misinterpretation or misunderstanding of this report. Therefore, we encourage any user of this report with questions regarding its content to contact RINER for clarification. Clarification will be provided verbally and/or issued by RINER in the form of a report addendum, as appropriate.

<u>Report Specificity</u>. This report was prepared to meet the specific needs of the client for the specific project identified. Recommendations contained herein should not be applied to any other project at this site by the client or anyone else without the explicit approval of RINER.

# **2.0** FIELD INVESTIGATION

<u>Subsurface Investigation</u>. The subsurface investigation for this project is summarized in the following table. Boring locations are provided in Appendix B - Boring Location Diagram.

Boring Nos.	Depth, feet bgs <sup>1</sup>	Date Drilled	Location <sup>2</sup>	
B-01 to B-40	10	11/14/2022 to 11/15/2022	Along the Alignment of Proposed	
			Asphalt Roadways	
Notes:				
1. bgs = below ground surface				
2. Boring locations provided in Appendix B - Boring Location Diagram should be considered				
approximate. Boring locations of B-01 to B-29 were surveyed after the drilling operations were				
comple	completed. Survey data for borings B-01 to B-29 presented in the boring logs provided in Appendix			
C - Bori	C - Boring Logs and Laboratory Results are as provided by the client in PNEZD format.			

<u>Boring Logs</u>. Subsurface conditions were defined using the sample borings. Boring logs generated during this study are included in Appendix C - Boring Logs and Laboratory Results. Borings were advanced between sample intervals using continuous flight auger drilling procedures.

<u>Cohesive Soil Sampling</u>. Cohesive soil samples were generally obtained using Shelby tube samplers in general accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D1587. The Shelby tube sampler consists of a thin-walled steel tube with a sharp cutting edge connected to a head equipped with a ball valve threaded for rod connection. The tube is pushed into the undisturbed soils by the hydraulic pulldown of the drilling rig. The soil specimens were extruded from the tube in the field, logged, tested for consistency using a hand penetrometer, sealed, and packaged to maintain "in situ" moisture content.

<u>Consistency of Cohesive Soils</u>. The consistency of cohesive soil samples was evaluated in the field using a calibrated hand penetrometer. In this test a 0.25-inch diameter piston is pushed into the undisturbed sample at a constant rate to a depth of 0.25-inch. The results

of these tests are tabulated at the respective sample depths on the boring logs. When the capacity of the penetrometer is exceeded, the value is tabulated as 4.5+.

<u>Granular Soil Sampling</u>. Granular soil samples were generally obtained using split-barrel sampling procedures in general accordance with ASTM D1586. In the split-barrel procedure, a disturbed sample is obtained in a standard 2-inch outside diameter (OD) split barrel sampling spoon driven 18-inches into the ground using a 140-pound (Ib) hammer falling freely 30 inches. The number of blows for the last 12-inches of a standard 18-inch penetration is recorded as the Standard Penetration Test resistance (N-value). The N-values are recorded on the boring logs at the depth of sampling. Samples were sealed and returned to our laboratory for further examination and testing.

<u>Groundwater Observations</u>. Groundwater observations are shown on the boring logs.

<u>Borehole Plugging</u>. Upon completion of the borings, the boreholes were backfilled from the top and plugged at the surface soil cuttings.

# **3.0 LABORATORY TESTING**

RINER performs visual classification and any of a number of laboratory tests, as appropriate, to define pertinent engineering characteristics of the soils encountered. Tests are performed in general accordance with ASTM or other standards and the results included at the respective sample depths on the boring logs or separately tabulated, as appropriate, and included in Appendix C - Boring Logs and Laboratory Results. Laboratory tests and procedures routinely utilized, as appropriate, for geotechnical investigations are tabulated in the following table.

Test Procedure	Description
ASTM D7928	Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Fine-Grained Soils
	Using the Sedimentation (Hydrometer) Analysis
ASTM D698	Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using
	Standard Effort
ASTM D1140	Standard Test Methods for Amount of Material in Soils Finer than the No. 200 (75-µm)
	Sieve
ASTM D1557	Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using
	Modified Effort
ASTM D1883	Standard Test Method for CBR (California Bearing Ratio) of Laboratory-Compacted
	Soils
ASTM D2166	Standard Test Method for Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cohesive Soil
ASTM D2216	Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of
	Soil and Rock by Mass
ASTM D2217	Standard Practice for Wet Preparation of Soil Samples for Particle-Size Analysis and
	Determination of Soil Constants
ASTM D2487	Standard Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification
	System)

Test Procedure	Description
ASTM D2488	Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure)
ASTM D2937	Standard Test Method for Density of Soil in Place by the Drive-Cylinder Method
ASTM D4220	Standard Practices for Preserving and Transporting Soil Samples
ASTM D4318	Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index of Soils
ASTM D4643	Standard Test Method for Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil by the
	Microwave Oven Method
ASTM D4718	Standard Practice for Correction of Unit Weight and Water Content for Soils
	Containing Oversize Particles
Manufacturer's	Soil Strength Determination Using a Torvane
Instructions	

# 4.0 SITE CONDITIONS

## 4.1 General

<u>Site Photographs</u>. Representative photographs of the site at the time of this investigation are provided in "Appendix D - Site Photographs".

<u>Geologic Formation</u>. Based on available surface geology maps and our experience, it appears this site is located in the Yegua Formation, Cook Mountain Formation, Sparta Sand, and Carrizo Sand near mapped contact with Terrace Deposits. A geologic atlas and USGS formation description are provided in "Appendix E – Geologic Information". Soils within the Yegua Formation can generally be characterized as clay with minor beds of sandstone. Soils within the Cook Mountain Formation can generally be characterized as sand, marl, clay, and limestone. Soils within the Sparta Sand and Carrizo Sand Formation can generally be characterized as sand and clay. Soils within the Terrace Deposits can generally be characterized as silt, sand, gravel, and clay.

<u>Geologic Faults</u>. A geologic fault study was beyond the scope of this investigation.

## 4.2 Soil

<u>Stratigraphy</u>. Descriptions of the various strata and their approximate depths and thickness per the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) are provided on the boring logs included in "Appendix C - Boring Logs and Laboratory Results". Terms and symbols used in the USCS are presented in "Appendix F – Unified Soil Classification System". A summary of the stratigraphy indicated by the borings is provided in the following table.

Generalized Subsurface Conditions Along the Alignment of Proposed Asphalt Roadways (Borings B-01 to B-40)			
Nominal Depth, feet bgs (Except as Noted)		General	Detailed Description of
Top of Layer	Bottom of Layer	Description	Soils/Materials Encountered
0	2- to 4.5- inches	BASE	2- to 4.5-Inch BASE.
2- to 4.5- inches	2	SAND AND CLAY FILL	Soft to hard FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) / SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) FILL, stiff to hard SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) FILL, very stiff CLAYEY SAND (SC) FILL, and SILTY, CLAYEY SAND (SC-SM) FILL.
2	10	VARIABLE CLAY AND SAND	Medium Dense to dense SILTY SAND (SM), soft to hard CLAYEY SAND (SC), soft to hard SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL), and soft to hard SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) / FAT CLAY (CH).
Note: Boring Termination Depth = 10 feet bgs.			

<u>Moisture Change Susceptibility of Near Surface Soils</u>. The sandy/silty soils encountered at and near the ground surface at this site are very susceptible to changes in moisture. The presence of surface water due to precipitation or groundwater may result in a decrease in the ability to compact and work with the soil. It is common for these soils to pump when subjected to high levels of moisture. In addition, these soils located at and near the ground surface will allow surface water to infiltrate until the water becomes perched on a less permeable layer at depth. Soils of this type are especially prone to requiring the implementation of wet weather/soft subgrade recommendations provided in this report.

<u>Swell Potential based on Atterberg Limits</u>. Atterberg (plastic and liquid) limits were performed on 42 shallow clayey soil samples obtained at depths between 0- and 8-feet bgs. The plasticity index of the samples was between 16 and 74 with indicating that the clayey soils have a moderate to high potential for shrinking and swelling with changes in soil moisture content.

## 4.3 Groundwater

<u>Groundwater Levels</u>. The borings were advanced using auger drilling and intermittent sampling methods in order to observe groundwater seepage levels. Groundwater levels encountered in the borings during this investigation are identified in the following table.

Boring No.	Depth Groundwater Initially	Groundwater Depth after 15 Minutes
	Encountered (feet, bgs)	(feet, bgs)
B-01 to B-40	Not Encountered	Not Measured

Long-term Groundwater Monitoring. Long-term monitoring of groundwater conditions via piezometers was not performed during this investigation and was beyond the scope of this study. Long-term monitoring can reveal groundwater levels materially different than those encountered during measurements taken while drilling the borings.

<u>Groundwater Fluctuations</u>. Future construction activities may alter the surface and subsurface drainage characteristics of this site. It is difficult to accurately predict the magnitude of subsurface water fluctuations that might occur based upon short-term observations. The groundwater level should be expected to fluctuate throughout the years with variations in precipitation.

# **5.0** ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.1 Potential Vertical Soil Movements

TxDOT Method Tex-124-E. Potential Vertical Rise (PVR) calculations were performed in general accordance with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Method Tex-124-E. The Tex-124-E method is empirical and is based on the Atterberg limits and moisture content of the subsurface soils. The calculated PVR is an empirical estimate of a soil's potential for swell based upon the soil's plasticity index, applied loading (due to structures or overburden), and antecedent moisture condition. The wetter a soil's antecedent moisture condition, the lower its calculated PVR will be for a given plasticity index and load. However, soil with a higher antecedent moisture content in the soil is the key to minimizing both heave and shrinkage related structural problems.

<u>Calculated PVR using TxDOT Method Tex-124-E</u>. PVR calculations were performed in general accordance with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Method Tex-124-E. The Tex-124-E method is empirical and is based on the Atterberg limits and moisture content of the subsurface soils. The calculated PVR is an empirical estimate of a soil's potential for swell based upon the soil's plasticity index, applied loading (due to structures or overburden), and antecedent moisture condition. The PVR calculated using TxDOT Method Tex-124-E is about 1- to 2.5-inches assuming an average to wet antecedent moisture condition. The calculated PVR is consistent with soil moisture conditions at the time this investigation was conducted. An 8-feet zone of seasonal moisture variation was used in our analysis based on local experience.

# **5.2** Construction Excavations

<u>Applicability</u>. Recommendations in this section apply to short-term construction-related excavations for this project.

<u>Sloped Excavations</u>. All sloped short-term construction excavations on-site should be designed in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) excavation standards. Borings from this investigation indicated that the soils may be classified per OSHA regulations as Type C from the ground surface to a depth of 10-feet bgs. Short-term construction excavations may be constructed with a maximum slope of 1.5:1, horizontal to vertical (H:V), to a depth of 10-feet bgs. If excavations are to be deeper than 10-feet, we should be contacted to evaluate the excavation. Recommendations provided herein are not valid for any long-term or permanent slopes on-site.

<u>Shored Excavations</u>. As an alternative to sloped excavations, vertical short-term construction excavations may be used in conjunction with trench boxes or other shoring systems. Shoring systems should be designed using an equivalent fluid weight of 85 pcf above the groundwater table and 105 pcf below the groundwater table. Surcharge pressures at the ground surface due to dead and live loads should be added to the lateral earth pressures where they may occur. Lateral surcharge pressures should be assumed to act as a uniform pressure along the upper 10-feet of the excavation based on a lateral earth coefficient of 0.5. Surcharge loads set back behind the excavation at a horizontal distance equal to or greater than the excavation depth may be ignored. We recommend that no more than 200-feet of unshored excavation should be open at any one time to prevent the possibility of failure and excessive ground movement to occur. We also recommend that unshored excavations do not remain open for a period of time longer than 24-hours.

<u>Limitations</u>. Recommendations provided herein assume there are no nearby structures or other improvements which might be detrimentally affected by the construction excavation. Before proceeding, we should be contacted to evaluate construction excavations with the potential to affect nearby structures or other improvements.

<u>Excavation Monitoring</u>. Excavations should be monitored to confirm site soil conditions consistent with those encountered in the borings drilled as part of this study. Discrepancies in soil conditions should be brought to the attention of RINER for review and revision of recommendations, as appropriate.

# 5.3 Groundwater Control

Groundwater was not encountered during the subsurface investigation. If groundwater is encountered during excavation, dewatering to bring the groundwater below the bottom of excavations may be required. Dewatering could consist of standard sump pits and pumping procedures, which may be adequate to control seepage on a local basis during excavation. Supplemental dewatering will be required in areas where standard sump pits and pumping is not effective. Supplemental dewatering could include submersible pumps in slotted casings, well points, or eductors. The contractor should submit a groundwater control plan, prepared by a licensed engineer experienced in that type of work.

# 5.4 Earthwork

#### 5.4.1 Site Preparation

In the area of improvements, all concrete, trees, stumps, brush, debris, septic tanks, abandoned structures, roots, vegetation, rubbish and any other undesirable matter should be removed and properly disposed. All vegetation should be removed and the exposed surface should be scarified to an additional depth of at least 6 inches. It is the intent of these recommendations to provide a loose surface with no features that would tend to prevent uniform compaction by the equipment to be used.

#### 5.4.2 Proofroll

Paving subgrades should be proofrolled with a fully loaded tandem axle dump truck or similar pneumatic-tire equipment to locate areas of loose subgrade. In areas to be cut, the proofroll should be performed after the final grade is established. In areas to be filled, the proofroll should be performed prior to fill placement. Areas of loose or soft subgrade encountered in the proofroll should be removed and replaced with engineered fill, moisture conditioned (dried or wetted, as needed) and compacted in place.

#### 5.4.3 Grading and Drainage

Every attempt should be made to limit the extreme wetting or drying of the subsurface soils because swelling and shrinkage of these soils will result. Standard construction practices of providing good surface water drainage should be used. A positive slope of the ground away from any pavement should be provided. Ditches or swales should be provided to carry the run-off water both during and after construction.

Root systems from trees and shrubs can draw a substantial amount of water from the clay soils at this site, causing the clays to dry and shrink. This could cause settlement beneath

grade-supported slabs such as walks and paving. Trees and large bushes should be located a distance equal to at least one-half their anticipated mature height away from pavements.

#### 5.4.4 Wet Weather/Soft Subgrade

Soft and/or wet surface soils may be encountered during construction, especially following periods of wet weather. Wet or soft surface soils can present difficulties for compaction and other construction equipment. If specified compaction cannot be achieved due to soft or wet surface soils, one of the following corrective measures will be required:

- 1. Removal of the wet and/or soft soil and replacement with select fill,
- 2. Chemical treatment of the wet and/or soft soil to improve the subgrade stability, or
- 3. If allowed by the schedule, drying by natural means.

Chemical treatment is usually the most effective way to improve soft and/or wet surface soils. RINER should be contacted for additional recommendations if chemical treatment is planned due to wet and/or soft soils.

#### 5.4.5 Fill

<u>Select Fill</u>. The select fill should have a Liquid Limit less than 35 and Plasticity Index between 8 and 20. The select fill should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 8-inches and should be compacted to at least 95 percent maximum dry density (per Tex-113-E) and at a moisture content within ±2 percentage points of optimum.

<u>Lime-treated Native Clay Soil</u>. Based on the laboratory testing conducted for this investigation, the native clay on-site soils will not meet specifications for select fill outlined in the section titled "Fill". As an alternative to importing select fill, the native clay soil may be blended with lime to reduce the plasticity index to meet select fill requirements. Based on our experience, we expect that it will require between 3- and 8-percent lime (by dry unit weight) to reduce the plasticity index of the native clay soils to select fill requirements. Prior to selecting this alternative, lime series tests should be performed to assess the amount of lime required.

<u>Fill Restrictions</u>. Select fill I should consist of those materials meeting the requirements stated. Select fill and general fill should not contain material greater than 4-inches in any direction, debris, vegetation, waste material, environmentally contaminated material, or any other unsuitable material.

<u>Unsuitable Materials</u>. Materials considered unsuitable for use as select fill or general fill include low and high plasticity silt (ML and MH), silty clay (CL-ML), organic clay and silt (OH and OL) and highly organic soils such as peat (Pt). These soils may be used for site grading and restoration in unimproved areas as approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. Soil placed

in unimproved areas should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 10-inches and should be compacted to at least 92 percent maximum dry density (per ASTM D-698) and at a moisture content within ±4 percentage points of optimum.

#### 5.4.6 Testing

<u>Required Testing and Inspections</u>. Construction monitoring services must be provided for all construction activities according to TxDOT specifications. We recommended that at least three compaction tests (i.e. field density and moisture content test) be performed for every 1,000 linear feet of roadway per lift, per day.

<u>Liability Limitations</u>. Since proper field inspection and testing are critical to the design recommendations provided herein, RINER cannot assume responsibility or liability for recommendations provided in this report if construction inspection and/or testing is performed by another party.

## 5.5 Demolition Considerations

<u>Applicability</u>. Recommendations in this section apply to the removal of any existing utilities or pavement which may be present on this site.

<u>General</u>. Special care should be taken in the demolition and removal of existing utilities and pavements to minimize disturbance of the subgrade. Excessive disturbance of the subgrade resulting from demolition activities can have serious detrimental effects on planned paving elements.

<u>Existing Utilities</u>. Existing utilities and bedding to be abandoned should be completely removed. Existing utilities and bedding may be abandoned in place if they do not interfere with planned development. Utilities which are abandoned in place should be properly pressure-grouted to completely fill the utility.

<u>Backfill</u>. Excavations resulting from the excavation of existing foundations and utilities should be backfilled in accordance with Section 5.4.5 - Fill.

<u>Other Buried Structures</u>. Other types of buried structures (wells, cisterns, etc.) could be located on the site. If encountered, RINER should be contacted to address these types of structures on a case-by-case basis.

## 5.6 Loading on Buried Structures

<u>Uplift</u>. Buried water-tight structures are subjected to uplift forces caused by differential water levels adjacent to and within the structure. Soils with any appreciable silt or sand content will likely become saturated during periods of heavy rainfall and the effective static water level will be at the ground surface. For design purposes, we recommend the groundwater level be assumed at the ground surface. Resistance to uplift pressure is provided by soil skin friction and the dead weight of the structure. Skin friction should be neglected for the upper 3 feet of soil. A skin friction of 200 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used below a depth of 3 feet.

<u>Lateral Pressure</u>. Lateral pressures on buried structures due to soil loading can be determined using an equivalent fluid weight of 105 pcf. This includes hydrostatic pressure but does not include surcharge loads. The lateral load produced by a surcharge may be computed as 50 percent of the vertical surcharge pressure applied as a constant pressure over the full depth of the buried structure. Surcharge loads located a horizontal distance equal to or greater than the buried structure depth may be ignored.

<u>Vertical Pressure</u>. Vertical pressures on buried structures due to soil loading can be determined using an equivalent fluid weight of 125 pcf. This does not include surcharge loads. The vertical load produced by a surcharge may be computed as 100 percent of the vertical surcharge pressure applied as a constant pressure over the full width of the buried structure.

## 5.7 Buried Pipe

<u>Applicability</u>. Recommendations in this section are applicable to the design of buried piping placed by open cut methods associated with this project.

<u>Pressure on Buried Pipe</u>. Design recommendations provided in the "Loading on Buried Structures" section of this report apply to buried piping.

<u>Thrust Restraints</u>. Resistance to lateral forces at thrust blocks will be developed by friction developed along the base of the thrust block and passive earth pressure acting on the vertical face of the block. We recommend a coefficient of base friction of 0.23 along the base of the thrust block. Passive resistance on the vertical face of the thrust block may be calculated using the allowable passive earth pressures presented in the following table.

Allowable Passive Earth Pressure by Material Type		
Material	Allowable Passive Pressure (psf)	
Sand	100 x Depth in Feet	
Native Clay and Clayey Sand	2,000	
Compacted Clay Fill	1,500	
Note: Passive resistance should be neglected for any portion of the thrust block within 3 feet of the final site		
grade. The allowable passive resistance for native clays and clayey sand is based on the thrust block bearing		

directly against vertical, undisturbed cuts in these materials.

<u>Bedding and Backfill</u>. Pipe bedding and pipe-zone backfill for the water and sanitary sewer piping should be in accordance with TxDOT standard specification Item 400 or the local equivalent. The pipe-zone consists of all materials surrounding the pipe in the trench from six (6) inches below the pipe to 12 inches above the pipe.

<u>Trench Backfill</u>. Excavated site soils will be utilized to backfill the trenches above the pipe-zone. Backfilled soil should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 8-inches and should be compacted to at least 95 percent maximum dry density (per ASTM D-698) and at a moisture content between optimum and 4 percent above optimum moisture content.

<u>Trench Settlement</u>. Settlement of backfill should be anticipated. Even for properly compacted backfill, fills in excess of 8 to 10 feet are still subject to settlements over time of about 1 to 2 percent of the total fill thickness. This level of settlement can be significant for fills beneath streets. Therefore, close coordination and monitoring should be performed to reduce the potential for future movement.

#### 5.8 Flexible Pavement Recommendations

<u>General</u>. Recommendations for flexible pavement and preparation of the pavement subgrade are provided in the following sections. We understand the proposed roadway will consist of 2 lanes (one lane in each direction).

<u>Traffic Information</u>. Based on the provided information, we understand the proposed asphalt roadways will generally be serving residential properties and will generally be subject to automobiles, light to medium trucks, RVs, and boat trailers. We understand the heavy (18-wheel) truck traffic on these roadways will be minimal. A traffic study indicating the number and type of vehicles on which to base the pavement design was not provided at the time of writing this report. Therefore, our recommendations are based upon our experience with similar projects assuming normal vehicular loading. *Any unusual loading conditions should be brought to our attention prior to finalizing the pavement design so that we may assess and modify our recommendations as necessary.* 

#### 5.8.1 Flexible Pavement

<u>Flexible Pavement Design Parameters</u>. The following design parameters were assumed in our pavement analysis and design. The assumed values are based on the AASHTO 1993 Pavement Design Guideline and our experience with similar projects.

Flexible Pavement Design Parameters		
Item	Description/Value	
Pavement Design Life	20 years	
Subgrade Soils	LEAN TO FAT CLAY AND SAND	
Reliability	90%	
Drainage Coefficient	1.0 (1)	
Overall Standard Deviation	0.45	
Initial Serviceability	4.20	
Terminal Serviceability	2.00	
Subgrade Resilient Modulus	4,000 psi	
Layer Coefficient for Asphalt Layer	0.44	
Layer Coefficient for Crushed (Graded) Stone Base	0.14	
Layer Coefficient for Chemically Stabilized Subgrade Soil <sup>2</sup>	0.11	
Notes:		
1. Drainage coefficient is based on the assumption that good drainage quality prevails over the life of the pavement and that the pavement structure is exposed between 5 to 25 percent of the time to moisture levels approaching saturation.		

2. Recommendations for subgrade stabilization reagent are provided in section 5.8.2 Pavement Subgrade.

<u>Flexible Pavement Section</u>. The following asphalt pavement sections (2 options) are recommended for the project per AASHTO 1993 Pavement Design Guideline and our experience with similar projects.:

Flexible Pavement Sections				
Option	Equivalent	Equivalent Number of	Material	Thickness
	Single Axle	Loaded Heavy (18-wheel)		(in.)
	Loads (ESALs)	Truck Passes per Day per		
	per Design Lane	Direction		
			Type C Asphalt Layer	2.0
1	166,000	9	Crushed (Graded) Stone Base	10.0
			Chemically Stabilized Subgrade Soil	8.0
			Type C Asphalt Layer	3.0
Ш	400,000	22	Crushed (Graded) Stone Base	10.0
			Chemically Stabilized Subgrade Soil	8.0
<u>Note</u> :				
1. /	Asphaltic concrete p	avement should comply with	h TxDOT Standard Specifications, Item 34	40, "Dense-
Graded Hot-Mix Asphalt (Method)", or local equivalent.				
2. 1	2. The base course should comply with TxDOT Standard Specifications, Item 247, Grade 1-2, Type A,			
(	"Flexible Base", or equivalent.			
3. F	. Recommendations for subgrade stabilization reagent are provided in section 5.8.2 Pavement			
9	Subgrade.			
4. F	4. Prime Coat is needed between flexible base and asphalt layers.			
5. 1	Tack Coat is needed between every HMA lifts.			

<u>Alternate Flexible Pavement Section</u>. In the above provided flexible pavement sections, the 8-inch chemically stabilized subgrade can be substituted with a Tensar TriAx TX 5 Geogrid or equivalent placed under the base course (over the subgrade soil). **The prepared subgrade soil should be proof rolled no earlier than 72 hours prior to placement of the geogrid**.

#### 5.8.2 Pavement Subgrade

Potential Vertical Soil Movements. We have assumed that site treatment will not be performed within the pavement areas for this project. As a result, pavements will be subjected to the calculated PVR for this site. Based on the information gathered during this investigation, a pavement constructed on-grade will be subject to potential vertical movements of 1 to 2.5-inches. Because heave is generally associated with a source of water, it can occur differentially. Edge lift, excessive cracking, corner breaks, and poor ride quality are just a few of the many examples of pavement issues that can occur when in-situ PVR values are high. We should be contacted to provide PVR mitigation strategies to help reduce potential movements if desired. Strategies available for reducing potential soil movements include soil stabilization with lime or cement, removal of the on-site expansive soils and replacement with select fill.

<u>Subgrade Preparation</u>. Fat clay, lean clay, clayey sand, and silty, clayey sand are expected to be encountered or exposed at pavement subgrade. The pavement subgrade should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 8-inches and should be compacted to at least 95 percent maximum dry density (per Tex-113-E) and at a moisture content within ±2 percentage points of optimum.

Where clayey soils are encountered, we recommend the subgrade be stabilized using the following:

Roagont	Application Rate	Application Depth	
Keagein	(Pounds per square yard)	(inches)	
Lime	36	8	

Lime stabilization should be performed in accordance with TxDOT Standard Specifications, Item 260, "Lime Stabilized Subgrade", or local equivalent.

Where sandy and silty soils are encountered, we recommend the subgrade be stabilized using either of the following:

Reagent	Application Rate (Pounds per square yard)	Application Depth (inches)
Dortland Comont		(inclies)
	31	ð
70% Flyash/30% Lime Blend	48	8

Cement stabilization should be performed in accordance with TxDOT Standard Specifications, Item 275, "Portland Cement Treated Materials" or local equivalent, and lime-fly ash stabilization should be performed in accordance with TxDOT Standard Specifications, Item 265, "Lime-Fly Ash Treatment of Materials Used as Subgrade" or local equivalent.

This 8-inches of treatment is a required part of the pavement design and is not a part of site and subgrade preparation for wet/soft subgrade conditions.

<u>Alternate Subgrade Preparation</u>. Cement Stabilized sand may be used for as a substitute for in-place stabilized subgrade soil. Cement stabilized sand mixture should consist of not less than 1.5 sacks of Portland Cement per ton of material mixture. Cement stabilized sand should conform to the Harris County Specification Item 433, "Cement Stabilized Sand Bedding and Backfill Material" or local equivalent.

# **6.0 GENERAL COMMENTS**

<u>Data Assumptions</u>. By necessity, geotechnical engineering design recommendations are based on a limited amount of information about subsurface conditions. In the analysis, the geotechnical engineer must assume subsurface conditions are similar to those encountered in the borings. The analyses, conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based on site conditions as they existed at the time of the field investigation and on the assumption that the exploratory borings are representative of the subsurface conditions throughout the site; that is, the subsurface conditions everywhere are not significantly different from those disclosed by the borings at the time they were completed. <u>Subsurface Anomalies</u>. Anomalies in subsurface conditions are often revealed during construction. If during construction, different subsurface conditions from those encountered in our borings are observed, or appear to be present in excavations, we must be advised promptly so that we can review these conditions and reconsider our recommendations where necessary.

<u>Change of Conditions</u>. If there is a substantial lapse of time between submission of this report and the start of the work at the site, if conditions have changed due either to natural causes or to construction operations at or adjacent to the site, or if structure locations, structural loads or finish grades are changed, we should be promptly informed and retained to review our report to determine the applicability of the conclusions and recommendations, considering the changed conditions and/or time lapse.

<u>Design Review</u>. Recommendations in our report are contingent upon RINER reviewing and approving in writing the following design items prior to construction:

- Site grading plan,
- Detailed traffic information, and
- Detailed plans and cross-sections of the pavement.

<u>Construction Materials Testing and Inspection</u>. RINER should be retained to observe earthwork and foundation installation and perform materials evaluation and testing during the construction phase of the project. This enables RINER's geotechnical engineer to stay abreast of the project and to be readily available to evaluate unanticipated conditions, to conduct additional tests if required and, when necessary, to recommend alternative solutions to unanticipated conditions. It is proposed that construction phase observation and materials testing commence by the project geotechnical engineer (RINER) at the outset of the project. Experience has shown that the most suitable method for procuring these services is for the owner to contact directly with the project geotechnical engineer. This results in a clear, direct line of communication between the owner and the owner's design engineers and the geotechnical engineer.

<u>Report Recommendations are Preliminary</u>. Until the recommended construction phase services are performed by RINER, the recommendations contained in this report on such items as final foundation bearing elevations, final depth of undercut of expansive soils for non-expansive earth fill pads and other such subsurface-related recommendations should be considered as preliminary.

<u>Liability Limitation</u>. RINER cannot assume responsibility or liability for recommendations provided in this report if construction inspection and/or testing recommended herein is performed by another party.

<u>Warranty</u>. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client and their designated agents for specific application to design of this project. We have used that

degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised under similar conditions by reputable members of our profession practicing in the same or similar locality. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made or intended.

Appendix A - Project Location Diagrams



#### **PROJECT LOCATION DIAGRAM - GENERAL**

RINER Project No. 22-0769



#### **PROJECT LOCATION DIAGRAM - LOCAL**





Appendix B - Boring Location Diagram



**BORING LOCATION DIAGRAM- B-01 to B-12** 

RINER Project No. 22-0769



**BORING LOCATION DIAGRAM – B-13 to B-26** 







**BORING LOCATION DIAGRAM- B-27 to B-40** 

RINER Project No. 22-0769



Appendix C - Boring Logs and Laboratory Results

	R		Riner E 1641 K Feleph	Engineering, Inc. Kennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UMI	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ∃ 1 C	<b>01</b> DF 1	
ľ	CLIEN	<b>F</b> _Free	ese an	d Nichols, Inc.		PROJECT NAME SRA Toledo Bend Fishing Tournament Site												
	PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJECT LOCATION Hemphill, Texas												
	DATES	START	<b>ED</b> _1	1/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROUND ELEVATION NORTHING 10522252.27												
	CONTI	RACTO	<b>)r</b> <u>R</u>	NER		GROUND WATER LEVELS: EASTING 4346159.554												
	METH		uger 0	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	( ENC	DUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	Interec	1				
	LOGGE	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red							
╞	NUTES		ey dai			1	AFTER	 T		1		1	1		TEDRE		1.	
	ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)	
ŀ		0.0		PAVEMENT - 3-Inch BASE.														
	307.5	 - 		FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Soft, gray and brown.	ST			1.00	0.3				39	63	21	42	73	
-	305.0			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown, with sand pockets.	ST	_		4.50+	1.0				18					
3		 - 5.0		SILTY SAND (SM) - Medium dense, light gray and light brown.	SS		10-13-15 (28)	-					14	-			36	
3/22/2		-																
.GPJ_NEW GINT_TEMP.GDT :	302.5				SS	-	8-16-14 (30)	-					20	-				
TE W/T ELEVATION 22-0769.0	300.0	 			SS	_	4-7-11 (18)	-										
NEW TEMPLA		10.0			/ \													

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner E 1641 K Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594					B	BOR	RING	<u>S NI</u>	JME	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>02</b> F 1			
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	d Nichols, Inc.	PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site					
PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJECT LOCATION													
DATE	START	ED <u>1</u>	1/14/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> <u>11/14/22</u>	GROUND ELEVATION _299.614 ft NORTHING _10522789.87														
CONT	RACTO	R RI	NER		GROUND WATER LEVELS: EASTING 4346115.957													
METH		uger 0	- 10 feet		- INITIALLY ENCOUNTERED Not Encountered													
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	∕leasu	red					_			
NOTES	S Surv	ey dat	a as provided by client in PNEZD format		AFTER													
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)		
	0.0	××××	PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.												-			
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Hard, gray and brown.	ST			4.50+	2.0				16	41	19	22	64		
 	2.5		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Firm to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		4.50+	2.3										
295.0 	5.0			ST			4.00	1.9				20	-					
22-0769.GPJ NEW GNT TEMP.GD 22-0769.GPJ NEW GNT TEMP.GD 25-0769.GPJ NEW GNT TEMP.GPJ 25-0769.GPJ NEW GNT TEMP.GPJ NEW GNT TEMP.	7.5			ST			4.50+	1.8										
0.065	10.0			ST			1.50	0.5				18						

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

	R		Riner Er 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594						E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	BEF PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>03</b> )F 1
		<b>F</b> Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
F	PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
	DATE	START	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	ATION	282.	72 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05233	67.98		
	CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	IER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> <u>43</u> 4	16098.	089		
	METH		uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY		JUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered	I			
L	OGGE	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
<b>ا</b>	OTES	Surv	/ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER -										
	NO	т	Ð		IYPE ER	۲۲ % )	LES JES	PEN.	Щ	sive (tsf)	ng (psi)	- WT.	IRE T (%)	AT	LIMITS	ERG S	ITENT
	ELEVAT (ft)	DEPT (ft)	GRAPH LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE - NUMBE	RECOVEI (RQD	BLOV COUN <sup>7</sup> (N VALL	POCKET (tsf)	TORVA (tsf)	Compres Strength	Confini Pressure	DRY UNIT (pcf)	MOISTU CONTEN	LIQUID	PLASTIC LIMIT	LASTICIT	INES CON
	282.5	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.												<u> </u>	<u> </u>
-	-	 		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Stiff, gray and brown.	ST			2.50	1.0				17				
_	- 280.0 -			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Stiff to very stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		3.00	1.3				14	29	13	16	70
3/22/23	- 277.5 -				ST	-		4.00	1.8	-							
2-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT	- - 275.0			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Very stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.00	2.0	-			16	-			
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION 2	-				ST			4.00									

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

F		Riner E 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	BER PAGE	<b>R B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>04</b> 0F 1			
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		E SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site				
PROJ		MBER	_22-0769		PROJ			Hem	phill, T	exas								
DATE	START	ED _11	1/14/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> 11/14/22		GROL		ATION	281.	105 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05237	75.75		_		
CONT	RACTO	DR <u>RI</u>	NER		<b>GROUND WATER LEVELS:</b> EASTING <u>4346073.41</u>													
METH		uger 0 ·	- 10 feet			INITIALLY		JUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered	1					
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Veasu	red								
NOTE	S Sur	vey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER _												
				ш	المعالية الم المعالية المعالية الم													
NO	L I	₽		 ∠ L H H H H H H H H H H H H H	ان کر	_s Ens	PEN	Щ	sive (tsf)	ng (psi	N N	T (%	<b>!</b>		>	Ξ		
(#)	(ff)	APF OG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	MBE	<b>VEF</b>		(tsf)	RVA (tsf)	pres	nfini	pcf)	STU TEN	≘⊢	₽F	Щ Ц Ц Ц	бу (%)		
	ā	GR		MP NUN		SC B	OCK	10 D	Com	Col	ר <u>ו</u>	IOM	EP	LAS	AST	ES		
"	0.0			s	R.		L A		00		ä	- ö			PL/	NI L		
			PAVEMENT - 3-Inch BASE.															
	L .		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Gray															
F .	1																	
280.0				AU								16	33	16	17	54		
- ·																		
- ·			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY		1				1									
	2.5		and reddish brown.															
	1																	
				ST			4.50+	1.4										
277.5																		
- ·					1								1					
	L .																	
- ·	1																	
	5.0			ST			4.50+	1.8				18						
ŋ																		
1779																		
2/5.0			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY		1								1					
	L .		light brown and reddish brown.															
	1																	
				ST			4.50+	2.0										
	7.5																	
2/0-2																		
					1				1				1					
272.5	L .																	
	1																	
				ST			4.00	1.4				68						
TAIL																		
≣																		
NEW	10.0																	

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner Er 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594					E	BOR	RINC	9 NI	JME	PAGE	<b>8 B-</b> 0 ∃ 1 0	<b>05</b> F 1			
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJECT NAME SRA Toledo Bend Fishing Tournament Site													
PROJE	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas								
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	/14/22 COMPLETED _11/14/22															
METH		uder 0 -	. 10 feet		_ GROUND WATER LEVELS: EASTING <u>4346225.173</u>													
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.					Not N		<u>NOT I</u>	<u>=ncou</u>	ntered			_			
NOTES	S Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER -		NOLT	vicasu	leu					_			
EVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	RAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	IPLE TYPE IUMBER	:OVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS VALUE)	CKET PEN. (tsf)	DRVANE (tsf)	mpressive ength (tsf)	confining ssure (psi)	(pcf)	DISTURE NTENT (%)				S CONTENT (%)		
		G		SAN N	REC	οŽ	POC	Ĭ	Str	Pre O	DRY	ΣÕ		PLA	PLAS INI	INE:		
<u> </u>	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.												<u>ц</u>	ш		
285.0			FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Hard, gray and brown.	ST			4.50+	1.9				34	81	19	62	72		
  282.5	2.5		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Very stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.00	1.8				40						
	 - <u>5.0</u> 			ST			4.50+	2.0										
280.0				ST			4.00	1.9				30						
277.5				ST			4.50+	1.9										

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.
R		Riner Er 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RING	<u>S NI</u>	UME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>06</b> )F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL			281.	517 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05247	29.77		
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	IER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> 434	46441.	704		
METH		uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY		JUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered	I			
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Veasu	red						
NOTES	Surv	/ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER _										
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) FILL - Very stiff, gray and reddish brown.	ST			4.00					18	42	15	27	38
			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY		_											
	2.5		WITH SAND (CH) - Soft to very stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.00									
				ST			1.00	0.3				31				
275.0 275.0 				ST			1.00	0.3								
	  _10.0			ST			1.50	0.5				40				

F		Riner Er 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	JME	BEF PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>07</b> 0F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJ		IMBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED <u>11/14/22</u>		GROL			292.	452 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05251	80.94		_
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	IER		GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> 434	6678.	622		_
METH		uger 0 -	10 feet					OUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN	Not I	Measu	red		Intered			_	
NOTE	S Sur	/ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER _			neuou	Tea					_	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	AMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	OCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	JRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC		VES CONTENT (%)
	0.0			0)	Ľ.		Ľ							_	Ц	Ē
			PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) FILL - Very stiff, gray and brown.	ST			4.00					16	36	18	18	49
	- 2.5		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.50+	2.1				21	_			
287.5 287.5				ST			4.50+	2.1								
225-0/68/69/1 NEW GINI LEWD-CD				ST			4.50+	2.0				21				
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION 2.2882	  - 10.0			ST			4.50+	2.1								

R		Riner E 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RING	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>B-</b> 1 0	<b>08</b> F 1
CLIEN	<b>F</b> _Free	ese and	I Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	ohill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION	276.	286 ft	NC	RTHI	<b>NG</b> _1	05256	45.82		-
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> 434	6889.	559		-
METH	OD <u>A</u>	uger 0 -	• 10 feet			INITIALLY	( ENC	DUNTE	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGI		<u>J.C.</u>	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	/leasu	red					_	
NOTES					1	AFTER	 						ATT	FRBF		F
ELEVATION (ft)	o DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			FINES CONTEN (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
275.0	  		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Gray and brown.	AU								12	30	11	19	60
  272.5			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.50+	2.3								
	 			ST	_		4.50+	2.4				20				
	  7.5			ST			4.50+	2.4								
267.5	  - 10.0			ST			4.50+	2.3				24				

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

F		Riner E 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RING	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>R B-</b> ∃ 1 C	<b>09</b> F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL	IND ELEVA	TION	274.	878 ft	NC	ORTHI	<b>NG</b> <u>1</u>	05260	69.23		_
CONT	RACTO	<b>R</b> <u>RI</u>	NER		GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	434	7112.	711		_
METH	OD A	uger 0 ·	- 10 feet			INITIALLY		JUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTES	S _Sur∖	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER										
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Very stiff, gray and brown.	ST			4.00	1.5				32	97	23	74	84
272.5	- 2.5		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Very stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	1.9								
270.0				ST			4.50+	1.9								
267.5				ST			4.50+	1.8				24				
265.0				ST			4.00	1.6								

	R	F 4 1	Riner Er 641 Ke elepho	ngineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	BOR	RINC	g Ni	JME	BER PAGE	<b>8 B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>10</b> 0F 1
	ENT	STREE	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PRC	JECT	NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DAT	E ST/	ART	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL		TION	270.	28 ft		RTHI	NG _1	05265	55.57		
со	NTRA	сто	R	IER		GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> 434	7333.	427		
ME	THOD	_Αι	uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY		JUNTI	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOC	GED	BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	∕leasu	red					_	
NOT		Surv	ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format		1	AFTER		1	1	1	1	1				1
ELEVATION	(II) DEPTH	(#)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
270	.0	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
-		-		FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Hard, gray and brown.	ST			4.50+	2.0				14				
- 267 -	2  	- <u>2.5</u> -		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		3.00	1.1				23	57	20	37	75
- - 265 827272	5	- 5.0 -			ST			2.50	1.0				23				
22-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GD	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 7.5			ST			2.50	0.8								
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION	- - - - - - 1	- - 0.0			ST			3.00	0.8				14				

I		Riner E 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RING	<u>S NI</u>	UME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>11</b> )F 1
CLIEN	T Fre	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJ	ECT NU	JMBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	ATION	265.	445 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05269	83.63		_
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	IER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> 434	17556.	055		_
METH	IOD A	uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY	( ENC	DUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTE	S Surv	vey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER						1				
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				INES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAV/EMENT - 2-Inch BASE													ш
265.0			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) FILL - Stiff, gray and brown.	ST			2.00	1.0				34	71	21	50	68
- 262.5 -	 		FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Firm to stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		2.00	0.8								
260.0	 <u>5.0</u>			ST	_		2.00	0.8								
				ST			2.00	0.8				28				
				ST			1.50	0.8								

R		Riner Er 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	JME	BER PAGE	<b>8 B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>12</b>
CLIEN	<b>T</b> Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROU	IND ELEVA	ATION	255.	63 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05274	33.12		_
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	IER		GROU	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STINC	<b>3</b> 434	7759.	977		_
METH	OD A	uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY		DUNTE	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	Neasu	red					_	
NOTES	S Surv	ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER			1		1					
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
<u>255.0</u> 			FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Stiff, gray and brown.	ST			2.00	1.0				25				
252.5			FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Firm to stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		2.50	1.0				27	71	21	50	88
				ST			1.50	0.5				29				
247 5	7.5			ST			3.00	1.3				41	93	21	72	94
				ST			3.00	1.3								

R		Riner En 1641 Ker Felephor	gineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	BOR	RING	9 NU	JME	BER PAGE	<b>8 B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>13</b> F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and I	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE		MBER _	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	ohill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11/</u>	14/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> 11/14/22		GROL	IND ELEVA	ATION	248.	926 ft	_ NC	ORTHI	<b>NG</b> _1	05278	87.48		_
CONT	RACTO	<b>R</b> RINI	ER		GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> _434	7995.	405		_
METH	OD A	uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY	ENCO	DUNTE	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered			_	
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.				AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	leasu	red						
NOTES	Surv	ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format		1	AFTER					1					
ELEVATION (ft)	o DEPTH o (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
247.5			FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Hard, gray and brown.	ST			4.50+	1.9				21	55	22	33	77
	- <u>2.5</u> 		FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		4.50+	2.0				23				
	- <u>5.0</u> - <u>-</u>		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) - Very stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown, with sand pockets.	ST	_		4.50+	2.0								
242.5 242.5	 - 7.5			ST	-		4.50+	2.4				17				
				ST			4.00									

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner Er 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703/ ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOR	RING	g Ni	JME	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>14</b> )F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.			PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	ning T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE		IMBER	22-0769			PROJ		TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED 11	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22			GROU	ND ELEVA	ATION	248.	239 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05283	65.92		_
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	IER			GROU	ND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> 434	8208.	03		_
METH	OD A	uger 0 -	10 feet		_		INITIALLY	( ENCO	DUNTE	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGI	ED BY	<u>J.C.</u>	CHECKED BY <u>A.A.</u>				AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not N	Measu	red						
NOTES	Surv	/ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format	1			AFTER _			1			1	AT1			1.
ELEVATION (ft)	0.0 DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPI E TVDE	NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
			PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.														
247.5			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light brown.		AU								5				21
 245.0	2.5		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Very stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.		ST	-		4.50+	2.4					-			
	5.0				ST	-		4.50+	2.4				21				
	                         				ST			4.50+	2.0								
	           				ST			4.00	1.6				22				

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

		Riner E 4641 K Telepho	ingineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	JME	BER PAGE	<b>R B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>15</b> F 1
CLIE	NT Free	ese and	d Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJ	IECT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>1</u>	1/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22		GROL	IND ELEV	ATION	252.	112 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05287	95.85		_
CON	TRACTO	DR RI	NER		GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> _434	8472.	209		_
MET	HOD A	uger 0	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	( ENC	OUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGO	SED BY	J.C.				AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Neasu	red						
NOTE	:5 _Sur\	/ey dat	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER _										1
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
-	- 0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
-			LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) FILL - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	2.4				19	49	23	26	79
 	2.5		FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	2.1				21				
- 247.5 - EZIZZIE	5.0		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) - Soft to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	1.9								
22-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			ST			1.00					19				
	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			ST			4.50+	0.8								

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

I		Riner E 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOF	RINC	g Ni	JME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>16</b> DF 1
CLIEN	T Fre	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.			PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PROJ	ECT NU	JMBER	22-0769			PROJ		TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	/14/22 COMPLETED 11/14/22			GROL		ATION	260.	243 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05291	52.92		_
CONT	RACTO	OR RIN	NER		_	GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> 434	8754.	327		_
METH		uger 0 -	- 10 feet		_		INITIALLY	Y ENCO	JUNTI	ERED	Not	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.				AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTE	S Sur	vey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format														
				Ц	J	%		ź		• •	(i	L-	(%)	ATT		RG	NT
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		NUMBER	RECOVERY (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEI (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf	Confining Pressure (ps	DRY UNIT W (pcf)	MOISTURE	LIQUID	PLASTIC	ASTICITY	NES CONTE (%)
200.0	0.0	XXXX			,	-											ш
260.0	-		FAVEIVIENT - 2-INCH BASE. FAT CLAY (CH) FILL - Grav and brown														
	-	-															
					A I I								20	72	21	50	07
					AU								20	13	21	52	0/
-	_																
			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY			-										<u> </u>	
-	25		WITH SAND (CH) - Very stiff to hard,														
257.5	_ 2.5		light brown and reddish brown.														
					ет			1 50+	24								
					31			4.50+	2.4								
-	-																
						-											
-	-																
	5.0				ST			4 50+	24				23				
255.0	_				•												
2																	
1771	-																
	-					1		-						1			
	1																
	_																
					ST			4.50+	2.4								
- ·	_																
252 5	_ 7.5																
	-																
	-					1								1			
	1																
	_																
					ST			4.00	1.0				23				
- LA	-																
	-																
	10.0																
- L	10.0					1		1				1	1		i.	<u> </u>	i

R		Riner E 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	9 NI	JME	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>17</b> F 1
CLIEN	<b>F</b> <u>Free</u>	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	//15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION	265.	845 ft	NC	ORTHI	<b>NG</b> _1	05295	44.89		_
CONT	RACTO	R RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> _434	9104.	017		_
METH	OD A	uger 0 ·	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	ENCO	DUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Neasu	red						
NOTES	S Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format		1	AFTER					1	1				
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
 265.0 			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	2.4				13	30	14	16	60
 262.5 			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		4.50+	2.4				16				
  260.0	5.0			ST	_		4.50+	2.4								
	7.5			ST	-		4.50+	1.3				17				
	    			ST			4.50+	1.8								

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner Er 1641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOR	RINC	9 NI	UME	BEF PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>18</b> 0F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.			PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PROJE		MBER	22-0769		_	PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22			GROL	JND ELEVA	TION	268.	364 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05299	02.1		_
CONT	RACTO	R	IER			GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> _434	19388.	093		_
METH	OD Au	uger 0 -	10 feet				INITIALLY	ENCO	DUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered			_	
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.		_		AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Neasu	red						
NOTES	S Surv	ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format		_		AFTER										
ELEVATION (ft)	o DEPTH o (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.														
267.5	 		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light brown.	F	٨U								7				32
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Stiff to hard, light			_								-			
	2.5		brown and reddish brown.	5	ST			4.50+	2.3								
						-								-			
	5.0			S	бт			4.50+	2.3				20				
262.5 262.5						_								-			
	7.5			5	SТ			4.50+	2.1								
260.0 260.0				ξ	ST			2.0	0.8				26	-			
z	10.0	V/////					1	1					L	1		<u> </u>	

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

	R	F4 1	Riner E 1641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	9 NI	JME	BER Page	<b>R B-</b> E 1 O	<b>19</b> F 1
	CLIEN	Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
	PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
	DATES	START	ED <u>11</u>	I/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEV	ATION	271.	341 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05302	85.21		_
	CONT	RACTO	R RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STINC	<b>3</b> 434	9719.	497		_
	METH	<b>DD</b> <u>A</u>	uger 0 ·	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	( ENC	DUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
	LOGGE	DBY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
	NOTES	Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER _		1	1		1	1				
	ELEVATION (ft)	o DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
Γ				PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
-	270.0			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	1.50				13				
-		2.5		SILTY SAND (SM) - Medium dense, light brown.	ss		8-7-16 (23)	_					6				50
-				SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.		_											
3/22/23	· -				ST			4.50+	2.3								
en	265.0					1				1				1			
					ST			4.50+	2.1				14				
77 NG						-											
	262.5				ST			4.50+	2.1								

I		Riner E 1641 Ko Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOR	RING	9 NI	UME	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ∃ 1 0	<b>20</b> F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.			PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	ning T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJI	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769			PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
	START	ED <u>11</u>	I/15/22 COMPLETED <u>11/15/22</u>			GROL	IND ELEVA	ATION PIEV	<u>279.</u> ELS:	<u>591 ft</u>		RTHI	NG <u>1</u>	<u>05307</u> 10050	<u>25.66</u> 288		_
METH	IOD A	uger 0	- 10 feet		_	GROC					Not F	Encou	• <u>-+0-</u>	1 <u>3333.</u>	200		_
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.		_		AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	Measu	red	_ncou	niereu				
NOTE	S Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format				AFTER									_	
NO	 _	<u>0</u>		ΥPF	א ו	۲۲ % )	/ S JE)	PEN.	μ	sive (tsf)	ng (psi)	WT.	RE 「(%)	AT1	ERBE LIMITS	ERG } ≻	ITENT
EVATI (ft)	DEPTI (ft)	RAPH LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			(RQD)	BLOW SOUNT VALL	CKET F (tsf)	DRVAI (tsf)	mpres: ength (	onfinir ssure	(pcf)	DISTU	MIT	ASTIC MIT		s con
	0.0	0		SAN	2	REC	02	POO	Ē	Str	Pre	DR	ΣŌ		PL	PLAS	FINE
	-		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.														
			FILL - Light gray and light brown.														
					AU								19				26
277.5			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY	4										-			
	2.5		WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.														
-	-																
					ST			4.50+	2.0								
- ·																	
275.0																	
	5.0				ST			4.50+	2.0				15				
-	-				•												
2/23																	
1 3/2.						-								-			
MP.GC																	
H																	
0 272.5					ST			4.50+	1.8								
GPJ N	7.5																
-0769.																	
ON 22						1								1			
EVATI																	
N/T EL					ст			4 50 -	1.0				10				
LATE \					01			4.50+	1.8								
270.0																	
NEM	10.0																

F	F Z	Riner E 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOR	RING	9 NI	JME	BEF PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>21</b> F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.			PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	nent S	Site		
PROJ	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769			PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	COMPLETED 11/15/22			GROL	IND ELEVA		287.	216 ft	_ NC	RTHI	NG <u>1</u>	<u>05310</u>	93.54		_
		0 <b>R</b> <u>RI</u>	10 fact		_	GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> _435	60373.	101		-
					_						<u>Not I</u>	Encou	ntered				
NOTE	S Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format				AFTER 15	o IVIIN.	NOT	vieasu	rea						
														ATT	TERBE	ERG	F
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			FINES CONTEN (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.														
	 - - 		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.		AU								8				
285.0			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.		ST	-		4.50+	2.4				19	58	20	38	50
 282.5	5.0		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.		ST	_		4.50+	1.9								
0.088 GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GD	7.5				ST			4.50+	1.6				13				
New TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION	       				ST			4.50+	1.6								

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

I		Riner E 1641 K Felepho	ingineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>22</b> F 1
CLIEN	IT Free	ese and	d Nichols, Inc.			PROJ	ECT NAME	<u>SR</u>	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisł	ning T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJ	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769			PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>1</u>	1/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22			GROL	IND ELEVA	TION	293.	021 ft	NO	RTHI	NG _1	05314	08.03		_
CONT	RACTO	<b>R</b> RI	NER		_	GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> 435	50688.	056		_
METH		uger 0	- 10 feet				INITIALLY		DUNTI	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.				AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Neasu	red						
NOTE	S Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format				AFTER		1	1		1					I
ELEVATION (ft)	0.0 DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE ITPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
-			PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.														
<u>292.5</u> - -	 		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.		AU								5				20
- _ 			SILTY SAND (SM) - Medium dense, light gray and light brown.		SS	-	7-10-9 (19)	-						-			
- - 287.5			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.		ST			4.50+	2.1				15				
22-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT 282-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT 0.258.CPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT	7.5				ST			4.50+	2.4								
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION					ST			4.50+	1.8				11				

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner Er 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RING	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ∃ 1 0	<b>23</b> F 1
CLIEN	<b>F</b> _Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	ning T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> <u>11/15/22</u>		GROL	IND ELEVA	ATION	279.	667 ft	NC	RTHI	NG _1	05318	69.61		_
CONT		<b>)R</b> <u>RIN</u>			GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>3</b> <u>435</u>	50848.	568		_
		uger u -				INITIALLY			ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered			_	
NOTES	Surv	ey data	as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER 1	o IVIIN.	NOT	vieasu	rea					_	
													ATT	ERBE	RG	Ļ
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID			FINES CONTEN (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
	 		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	ST								13				23
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) - Soft to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		1.00	0.3				8	-			
275.0				ST			4.50+	2.3								
272.5 272.5				ST			4.50+	2.4				13	29	15	14	46
270.0	  			ST			4.50+	1.3								

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner Er 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 nne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>24</b> F 1
CLIEN	<b>F</b> _Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PRO	JECT NAM	E_SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PRO	JECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
	START	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		_ GRO			<u>286.</u>	<u>114 ft</u>	_ NC	ORTHI	NG <u>1</u>	05323	<u>99.29</u> 671		_
METH	OD A	Jaer 0 -	10 feet		GRU			CLO.		Not	Encou	9 <u>400</u>	10000.	071		_
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.		_	AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	- <b>RED</b> Measu	red	Elicou	niereu	l		_	
NOTES	Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format		_	AFTER _									_	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NI IMBER	RECOVERY % (ROD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
285.0			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	A	J							10	-			31
  <u>282.5</u>	2.5		SILTY SAND (SM) - Medium dense, light gray and light brown.	s	5	9-13-10 (23)	_									
  280.0			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	s	г		4.50+	2.4				15				
				s	т		4.50+	• 2.4								
277.5				s	Г		4.50+	2.3				12				

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner El 1641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>25</b> F 1
CLIEN	<b>F</b> _Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>11</u>	I/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	IND ELEVA	TION	288.	529 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05329	20.15		_
CONT	RACTO	R <u>RIN</u>			GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> <u>435</u>	50836.	384		_
		uger u -				INITIALLY		DUNTI	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered			_	
NOTES	Surv	ev data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not	vleasu	red					_	
						AFIER -							ATT	ERBE	RG	F
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT			FINES CONTEN (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
287.5			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	AU								9				33
  285.0			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Stiff to very stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		3.00	1.0				9				
282.5				ST	_		2.00	0.8								
				ST	-		3.50	1.5				21				
				ST			2.00	1.0								

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		Riner E 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UMI	BER PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>26</b> )F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment \$	Site		
PROJE	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED _11	/15/22 COMPLETED _11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION	280.	152 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05333	86.37		_
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STIN	<b>G</b> 435	50867.	.728		_
METH	OD A	uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY	ENC	OUNT	ERED	Not	Encou	Interec	1			
LOGGI	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTES	S Surv	ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER							A 77			1
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				INES CONTENT (%)
280.0	0.0	×××	PAVEMENT - 4.5-Inch BASE.													<u>ш</u>
			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	AU								11				29
 277.5 	2.5		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Soft to very stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.00	1.8					-			
275.0	5.0			ST			1.00	0.5				22				
	7.5		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			2.00	1.0								
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION 2				ST			2.50	1.0				37				

F		Riner E 4641 K Telepho	Engineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOF	RINC	g Ni	UMI	<b>BER</b> PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ∃ 1 C	<b>27</b> 0F 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	d Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment \$	Site		
PROJE		MBER	22-0769		PROJ		TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED <u>1</u>	1/15/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEV	ATION	274.	965 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05338	68.93		
CONT	RACTO	DR RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> _435	50928.	691		
METH		uger 0	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	Y ENCO	JUNTI	ERED	Not	Encou	nterec	1			
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTES	Surv	/ey dat	a as provided by client in PNEZD format			AFTER _									_	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	TA FIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	AU								12				28
272.5	- 2.5		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	1.0								
270.0				ST			4.50+	1.0								
267.5			SILTY SAND (SM) - Medium dense, light gray and light brown.	ss		7-10-13 (23)	_					4	-			
	  		FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	2.1								

Ē

F		Riner E 4641 Ko Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	BEF PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	- <b>28</b> DF 1
CLIEN	T Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PROJ	ECT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ		TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	START	ED 11	I/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL		ATION	267.	529 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05343	55.78		
CONT	RACTO	DR _RII	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> <u>435</u>	50963.	712		_
METH		uger 0	- 10 feet			INITIALLY		OUNTI	ERED	Not	Encou	ntered				
LOGG	ED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTE	S Surv	/ey data	a as provided by client in PNEZD format													
NOIL	E	HIC		TYPE ER	:RY % D)	W ITS .UE)	PEN.	ANE (	ssive 1 (tsf)	ing e (psi)	T WT.	URE IT (%)	AT		ERG 3 ∠	NTENT
ELEVAT	DEP1	GRAPI LOC	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE NUMB	RECOVE (RQI	BLOV COUN (N VAL	POCKET (tsf)	TORV/ (tsf)	Compres	Confin Pressure	DRY UNI (pcf	MOISTI	LIQUID	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICI	INES CO
267.5	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) FILL - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			4.50+	2.4				14				
			CLAYEY SAND (SC) - Very stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.		-				-							
				ST			4.50+	2.4				11	51	16	35	30
    	5.0			ST	-		3.50	1.9				18				
260.0			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST			2.50	1.3								
NEW IEMPLAIE W/I ELEVATION				ST			3.00	1.3				27				

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

	R	Riner 4641 I Telepl	Engineering, Inc. Kennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 none: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2						E	BOF	RINC	g Ni	UME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>29</b> DF 1
CLIE	NT	Freese ar	nd Nichols, Inc.		_ I	PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PRO.	JEC	T NUMBEI	22-0769		_	PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE	E ST	ARTED _	1/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		_ (	GROL	IND ELEVA	ATION	268.	497 ft	NC	ORTHI	NG _1	05348	62.38		_
CON	TRA		INER		(	GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	<b>G</b> 435	51027.	327		_
MET							INITIALLY	( ENC	DUNTI	ERED	Not	Encou	ntered				
NOTE	GED ES	Survey da	ta as provided by client in PNEZD format		-		AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
					_		AFIER							AT	FERBE	ERG	F
NO	-	_ ≌		∐	4	۲۶% (	LS JE)	PEN.	Щ	sive (tsf)	ng (psi)	TW -	RE (%)			<u>}</u> ≻	ITEN
(ff)		ET (#)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			ZQD		(tsf)	RVA (tsf)	pres ngth	nfini sure	UNIT (pcf)	ISTU TEN	₽⊑	11C	П П П	S 00
				AMF		ECC ECC ECC	SCB SCB	0 O C	IOL	Com	D Co	RΥI	NO NO	LIN	LIM	AST	NES VES
		0.0		о П		ĽĽ.		ш.							Ľ		Ē
			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM)														
-	+		FILL - Light gray and light brown.														
267.5	5				U								12				35
-	+																
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown														
-	+	<u>2.5</u>	and reddish brown.														
					_								1.0				
-	+			S	T			4.50+	2.4				10				
265.0	)																
-	+				_									-			
	_																
-	+	<u>5.0</u>		S	т			4.50+	2.4								
2/23	T																
% 262.5 ⊨	5		SILTY SAND (SM) - Dense light grav							-				-			
AP.GD			and light brown.	$\mathbb{N}$													
	+						12-16-18						0				
	_	_			5		(34)						9				
NEV NEV				$   \rangle$													
19.GP	+	1.5		<u> </u>	_			-						-			
22-076																	
NOL																	
260.0	)	_		V			40.40.40										
WT EL				≬  s	s		13-16-19 (35)										
ATE V	Ť																
EMPL	$\downarrow$	-						-									
LEW T		10.0															

	R		Riner Er 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	UME	BER PAGE	<b>R B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>30</b> )F 1
	IENT	Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		E SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hina T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PR	OJEC	T NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA		Hem	phill, T	exas	<u>g</u> .					
DA	TE ST	ART	ED 11/	(15/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> 11/15/22		GROL					NC	RTHI	NG				
cc	ONTRA	асто	R RIN	IER		GROL	IND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	3				_
ME		D A	uger 0 -	10 feet					OUNTI	RFD	Not I	=ncou	ntered				
LO	GGED	BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red		morea				
NO	TES _						AFTER _										
ELEVATION	(ft)	0 UEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
		0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
	-	-		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) FILL - Very stiff, gray and brown.	ST			4.00	1.8				27	63	24	39	60
	-	- <u>2.5</u> - -		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Stiff to very stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		4.00	1.9					-			
3/22/23	-	<u>5.0</u>			ST			3.50	1.8				24				
2-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT	-	- - 7.5			ST			3.50	1.5								
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION 2	-	- - - 10.0			ST			2.50	1.1				25				

R	F 2	Riner E 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	OR	RINC	S NI	JME	<b>BER</b> PAGE	<b>B-</b> 1 0	<b>31</b> F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Toleo	do Ber	nd Fisł	ning T	ournar	nent S	lite		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	ohill, T	exas						
DATE S	TART	ED _11	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION			NO	RTHI	NG				_
CONT	RACTO	R RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	G				_
METHO		uger 0 -	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	' ENCO	DUNTE	RED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	D BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	MIN.	Not N	/leasu	red						
NOTES						AFTER									_	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 4-Inch BASE.													
			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	AU								13				15
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Stiff, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		2.50	0.8				28				
				ST			3.00	1.3								
	  			ST	_		2.50	1.0				22				
			SILIT SAIND (SIN) - Light gray and light brown, with clay pockets.	ST												

NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION 22-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT 3/22/23

R	F 4 1	Riner Er 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RINC	g Ni	JM	<b>BER</b> PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 0	<b>32</b> F 1
CLIEN	Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PRO		E_SRA	A Toleo	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PRO		TION	Hem	ohill, T	exas						
DATES	START	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GRO	UND ELEV	ATION			NC	ORTHI	NG				_
CONT	RACTO	R RIN	IER		GRO	UND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	G				_
METH		uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY	Y ENC	DUNTE	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	DBY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.		_	AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not N	leasu	red						
NOTES					-	AFTER _										
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NI IMBER	RECOVERY % (ROD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													_
			CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	A	J							14	31	15	16	33
	2.5		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	s	г		4.50+	1.5								
				s	г		4.50+	1.4				9				
				s	т		4.50+	2.0								
			SILIY SAND (SM) - Medium dense, light gray and light brown.	s	S	8-12-16 (28)						8				
	10.0															

Bottom of hole at 10.0 feet.

R		1641 Ke Felepho	ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	SOR	KINC	ίΝ	JIVIE	PAGE	<b>Κ Β-</b> Ξ 1 Ο	<b>33</b> F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE S	START	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEV	ATION			NC	ORTHI	NG				_
CONT	RACTO	DR RIN	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	G				_
METHO	DD A	uger 0 -	- 10 feet			INITIALLY		DUNTE	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	D BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not N	Measu	red					_	
NOTES						AFTER _									_	
7				Ш	%		ż		e C	(ji	Ŀ.		TTA   		ERG S	ENT
	Η Η	UH U		3ER	D R V	NES LUES	E DE	ANE (	ssiv h (ts	e (ps	l L⊆	URE (0.0		O	F	) NTE
EVA) (ft)	CEP.	LO	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	DLE	IN RO	VAI	(tsf	DRV. (tsf	npre engtl	onfir ssur	N d	UTEN		MIT	ΞÄ	% %
ELI		Ū		SAM	REC	_os	POC	μ	Stre	D se	DRY	Мо		PLA	ING	NE
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE								<u> </u>				<u>م</u>	Ē
			CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC) FILL - Gray													
			and light brown.													
												16	45	20	25	43
														20	20	-10
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY		1											
	2.5		WITH SAND (CL) - Stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.													
				ST			4.50+	2.4								
	50															
				ST			4.50+	1.6								
					-				-				-			
				ST			4 50+	13				11				
							4.001	1.0								
	7.5															
					-				-							
				ST			3.00	1.6								
	10.0															
			Bettem of hole at 10.0 feat													

NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION 22-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT 3/22/23

Riner Engineering Inc.

## ~~

٦

R	F 2	Riner E 4641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	OR	RINC	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>B-</b>	<b>34</b> )F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SRA	A Toleo	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	ohill, T	exas						
DATE S	TART	ED 11	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION			NC	RTHI	NG				_
CONTR	RACTO	<b>R</b> RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	3				_
METHO		uger 0 ·	- 10 feet			INITIALLY		DUNTE	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	D BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	<i>l</i> easu	red						
NOTES						AFTER _									_	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	AMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	OCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining <sup>D</sup> ressure (psi)	RY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				VES CONTENT (%)
	0.0			0	<u>ш</u>		<u> </u>								4	Ē
			PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			FILL - Light gray and light brown.	AU								7				
			FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-		4.50+	2.4				17	54	21	33	87
	5.0			ST	_		4.50+	2.4				19				
				ST			4.50+	2.4								
			Bottom of hole at 10.0 fact	ST			4.50+	2.4				25				

G	R	Riner Er 4641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. nnedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	32					E	BOF	RINC	g Ni	UME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ≣ 1 C	<b>35</b> )F 1
CLIE	NT Fre	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Bei	nd Fis	hing T	ourna	ment S	Site		
PRO.		JMBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA		Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE		ED 11	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEV	ATION			NC	ORTHI	NG				
CON	TRACT	OR RIN	IER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	G				_
MET		uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY		OUNTI	ERED	Not	Encou	nterec	l			
LOG	GED BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not I	Measu	red						
NOTE	ES					AFTER _										
ELEVATION (ft)	0.0 (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 3-Inch BASE.													
	_		FAT CLAY (CH) FILL - Hard, gray and brown.	ST			4.50+	2.4				24	75	24	51	87
	- - -		SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Firm to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.50+	2.4				32				
3/22/23	_ 5.0			ST			1.50	0.3								
22-0769.GPJ NEW GINT TEMP.GDT	- 7.5			ST			4.50+	0.5				40				
NEW TEMPLATE W/T ELEVATION	10.0			ST												

R		Riner E 4641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					E	BOR	RING	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>₹ B-</b> ₹ 1 0	<b>36</b> F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournar	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE S	START	ED <u>11</u>	//15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION			NC	ORTHI	NG				_
CONTR	RACTO	<b>R</b> RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	)				_
METHO	DD A	uger 0 ·	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	ENCO	DUNTE	ERED	Not I	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	D BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	Neasu	red						
NOTES					_	AFTER										
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC) FILL - Light gray and reddish brown.	AU								12	30	20	10	45
			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.50+	2.4								
	5.0			ST			4.50+	2.4				37				
				ST			4.50+	2.4								
			Bottom of hole at 10.0 feat	ST			2.00	0.3				45				

R		Riner E 1641 Ke Felepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	OR	INC	S NI	JME	<b>BER</b> PAGE	<b>B-</b> 1 0	<b>37</b> 0F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	Tole	do Ber	nd Fisl	ning T	ournai	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ			Hem	ohill, T	exas						
DATE S	START	ED 11	//15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEV	ATION			NC	RTHI	NG				_
CONTR	RACTO	DR RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	)				_
METHO	DD A	uger 0 -	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	( ENCO	DUNTE	RED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	D BY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 1	5 MIN.	Not N	/leasu	red					_	
NOTES					1	AFTER _							A T T			
ELEVATION (ft)	o DEPTH o (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) FILL - Very stiff, gray and brown.	ST			4.00	2.0				27				
			SANDY FAT CLAY (CH) / FAT CLAY WITH SAND (CH) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	-							30	66	21	45	59
				ST	_		4.50+	2.4								
				ST			4.50+	2.4				36				
			Pottom of hole at 10.0 feat	ST			4.50+	2.4								

R	F 4 T	Riner Ei 1641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 ne: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	OR	RING	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>B-</b>	<b>38</b> F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SR/	A Toleo	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournar	nent S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hemp	ohill, T	exas						
DATE S	TARTI	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION			NC	RTHI	NG				_
CONT	RACTO	R RIN	IER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	÷				_
METHO		uger 0 -	10 feet			INITIALLY		DUNTE	RED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	DBY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	/leasu	red						
NOTES						AFTER										
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIMIT LIMIT			FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													_
			CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC) FILL - Light gray and reddish brown.	AU								17	40	15	25	45
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.50+	2.1								
	 <u>5.0</u> 			ST								12				
	 7.5			ST			4.50+	2.0								
	 		SILTY SAND (SM) - Dense, light gray and light brown.	ss		10-14-17 (31)	_					10				

R	F 4 T	Riner Ei 641 Ke elepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 7703 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	BOR	RING	S NI	JME	PAGE	<b>B-</b>	<b>39</b> F 1
CLIENT	Free	se and	Nichols, Inc.		PROJ		SR/	A Toleo	do Ber	nd Fisl	hing T	ournar	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hem	phill, T	exas						
DATE S	TARTI	ED <u>11</u>	/15/22 <b>COMPLETED</b> 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION			NC	RTHI	NG				_
CONTR	RACTO	R	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	)				_
METHO		uger 0 -	- 10 feet			INITIALLY		DUNTE	ERED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	DBY	J.C.	CHECKED BY A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	Neasu	red					_	
NOTES						AFTER									_	
ELEVATION (ft)	o DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC) FILL - Reddish brown.	AU								10	34	16	18	49
			SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) / LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL) - Very stiff to hard, light brown and reddish brown.	ST	_		4.50+	2.4				20				
	 <u>5.0</u> 			ST			4.50+	1.0								
	 7.5			ST			4.00	1.3				11				
	  <u>10.0</u>		Dettern of help of 40.0 foot	ST			3.50	0.8								

Bottom of noie at 10.0 feet.

R	F 4 1	Riner E 1641 Ke Telepho	ngineering, Inc. ennedy Commerce Drive, Houston, TX 77032 one: 281-469-3347; Fax: 281-469.3594	2					B	OR	RING	S NI	JME	<b>BER</b> PAGE	<b>B-</b> 1 0	<b>40</b> F 1
CLIENT	Free	ese and	l Nichols, Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAME	SRA	A Toleo	do Ber	nd Fisł	ning T	ournar	ment S	Site		
PROJE	CT NU	MBER	22-0769		PROJ	ECT LOCA	TION	Hemp	ohill, T	exas						
DATE S	START	ED <u>11</u>	I/15/22 COMPLETED 11/15/22		GROL	JND ELEVA	TION			_ NO	RTHI	NG				-
CONT	RACTO	R RI	NER		GROL	JND WATE	R LEV	ELS:		EA	STING	G				-
METHO	DD Au	uger 0 -	- 10 feet			INITIALLY	ENCO	DUNTE	RED	Not E	Encou	ntered				
LOGGE	DBY	J.C.	CHECKED BY _A.A.			AFTER 15	5 MIN.	Not N	leasu	red					_	
NOTES	·					AFTER						1			_	
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	TORVANE (tsf)	Compressive Strength (tsf)	Confining Pressure (psi)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)				FINES CONTENT (%)
	0.0		PAVEMENT - 2-Inch BASE.													
			SILTY, CLAYEY SAND SAND (SC-SM) FILL - Light gray and light brown.	AU								5				23
	<u>2.5</u> 		SILTY SAND (SM) - Medium dense to dense, light gray and light brown.	ss	_	8-11-15 (26)	-									
				ss	_	9-12-14 (26)	-					9				
				SS	_	20-14-17 (31)	-									
	  			SS	-	8-14-18 (32)	-					5				

Appendix D - Site Photographs
## SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Facing Northwest at Boring B-22



Facing Northeast at Boring B-03



Facing East at Boring B-23

RINER Project No. 22-0769



## SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Facing East at Boring B-27

RINER Project No. 22-0769



## SITE PHOTOGRAPHS





Appendix E - Geologic Information

## **GEOLOGIC ATLAS**



RINER Project No. 22-0769





# **Mineral Resources On-Line Spatial Data**

Mineral Resources > Online Spatial Data > Geology > by state > Texas

# **Yegua Formation**

Yegua Formation

State Texas

Name Yegua Formation

Geologic age Phanerozoic | Cenozoic | Tertiary | Eocene-Middle

Original map label Ey

*Comments* clay with minor beds of sandstone, some concretionary limestone beds and lenses of oyster shells. sandst fine grained, calcar., glauconitic, massive, laminated, crossbedded; fossil wood; Volcanic ash included. silicified tuff containing an oyster and gastropod fauna (Eargle, 1968); 1,000 ft thick in San Antonio Sheet (1974). In East Texas and Gulf Coast to Rio Grande: Overlies Cook Mt. Formation; overlain by Caddell Fm. Unit is sandstone, clay, and lignite. Sandst. is mostly quartz and some chert, finegrained, laminated, corssbedded; clay, lignitic, sandy, bentonitic, well laminated, lentils of lignite. In Seguin Sheet unit is about 1000 ft thick.

Primary rock type clay or mud

Secondary rock type sandstone

Other rock types limestone; coal

Lithologic constituents Major

Sedimentary > Clastic > Sandstone (Bed) Sedimentary > Clastic > Mudstone > Claystone (Bed) Incidental Igneous > Volcanic (Pyroclastic, air fall) Sedimentary > Coal > Lignite (Bed) Sedimentary > Carbonate > Limestone (Bed)

Map references Bureau of Economic Geology, 1992, Geologic Map of Texas: University of Texas at Austin, Virgil E. Barnes, project supervisor, Hartmann, B.M. and Scranton, D.F., cartography, scale 1:500,000

Unit references Eargle, D.H., 1968, Nomenclature of formations of Claiborne Group, Middle Eocene, coastal plain of Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1251-D. [http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/b1251D]

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1970, Waco Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, San Antonio Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, Seguin Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1976, Crystal City-Eagle Pass Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1967, Palestine Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas at Austin, scale 1:250,000.

Counties Angelina - Atascosa - Bastrop - Brazos - Burleson - Fayette - Frio -Gonzales - Houston - Karnes - La Salle - Lee - McMullen - Madison -Nacogdoches - Sabine - San Augustine - Starr - Uvalde - Walker -Webb - Wilson - Zapata

Show this information as [XML] - [JSON]

U.S. Department of the Interior | U.S. Geological Survey URL: http://mrdata.usgs.gov/geology/state/sgmc-unit.php?unit=TXEOy;0 Page Contact Information: Peter Schweitzer (https://www.usgs.gov/)

Mineral Resources (https://www.usgs.gov/energy-and-minerals/mineral-resources-program)

- / Online Spatial Data (/) / Geology (/geology/) / by state (/geology/state/)
- / Mississippi (/geology/state/state.php?state=MS)

# Cook Mountain formation

XML (/geology/state/xml/MSEOcm;0) JSON (/geology/state/json/MSEOcm;0)

(Claiborne group), Southeast of Pearl River, marl, limestone, glauconitic sand, and chocolate-colored clay; northwest of Pearl River, predominantly chocolate-colored clay with some glauconitic sand.

State	Mississippi (/geology/state/state.php?state=MS)			
Name	Cook Mountain formation			
Geologic age	Eocene			
Lithologic constituents	Major         Unconsolidated > Coarse-detrital > Sand       Cook Mountain formation - (Claiborne group), Southeast of Pearl River, marl, limestone, glauconitic sand, and chocolate-colored clay; northwest of Pearl River, predominantly chocolate-colored clay with some glauconitic sand.         Unconsolidated > Marl       Cook Mountain formation - (Claiborne group), Southeast of Pearl River, marl, limestone, glauconitic sand, and chocolate-colored clay; northwest of Pearl River, predominantly chocolate-colored clay with some glauconitic sand.         Minor       Unconsolidated > Fine-detrital > Clay       Cook Mountain formation - (Claiborne group), Southeast of Pearl River, marl, limestone, glauconitic sand, and chocolate-colored clay; northwest of Pearl River, predominantly chocolate-colored clay with some glauconitic sand.         Minor       Unconsolidated > Fine-detrital > Clay       Cook Mountain formation - (Claiborne group), Southeast of Pearl River, marl, limestone, glauconitic sand, and chocolate-colored clay; northwest of Pearl River, predominantly chocolate-colored clay with some glauconitic sand.         Incidental       Sedimentary > Carbonate > Limestone       Cook Mountain formation - (Claiborne group), Southeast of Pearl River, marl, limestone, glauconitic sand, and chocolate-colored clay; northwest of Pearl River, predominantly chocolate-colored clay with some glauconitic sand.			
Comments	Secondary unit descriptions from USGS Lexicon website (MS002) and MS004: The Cook Mountain Formation is best exposed in Newton Co., MS, on the south side of I-20 at the Newton exit, in NW1/4NE1/4 sec. 26, T6N, R11E. Varies in thickness in the subsurface, from 47 ft to 135 ft. Thickens downdip. Mapped as a single unit, but two members discussed in text: Potterchitto and Gordon Creek Shale. Basal Archusa Marl Member is not recognized in Newton Co., either at the surface or in the subsurface. Disconformably overlies the Kosciusko Formation and conformably underlies the Cockfield Formation. Age is middle Eocene. Geologic map. Measured section. Stratigraphic column (Merrill and others, 1985).			

References	<ul> <li>Moore, William Halsell, 1969, reprinted 1985, Geologic Map of Mississippi, Compiled by Bicker, A. R., Jr., a revision of the geologic map published by the MS Geological Survey in 1945 in cooperation with the USGS, revised from data submitted by Dr. E. E. Russell of MS State University from published reports of the MS Geological Survey and from field revisions, Mercury Maps Inc., Jackson, MS., scale 1:500,000.</li> <li>USGS Geologic Names lexicon found at: http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Geolex/</li> <li>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Geolex/search (https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Geolex/search)</li> </ul>
	Merrill, R.K., Sims, J.J., Jr., Gann, D.E. and Liles, K.J., 1985, Newton County geology and mineral resources [Mississippi]: Mississippi Office of Geology Bulletin, no. 126, 108 p.
NGMDB product	NGMDB product page for 16555 (https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Prodesc/proddesc_16555.htm)
Counties	Attala (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28007) - Carroll (/geology/state/fips-

CountiesAttala (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28007) - Carroll (/geology/state/fips-<br/>unit.php?code=f28015) - Clarke (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28023) -<br/>Holmes (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28051) - Jasper (/geology/state/fips-<br/>unit.php?code=f28061) - Lauderdale (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28075) -<br/>Leake (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28079) - Madison (/geology/state/fips-<br/>unit.php?code=f28089) - Newton (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28101) - Scott<br/> (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f28123)

DOI Privacy Policy (https://www.doi.gov/privacy) | Legal (https://www.usgs.gov/laws/policies\_notices.html) |

Accessibility (https://www2.usgs.gov/laws/accessibility.html) | Site Map (https://www.usgs.gov/sitemap.html) |

Contact USGS (https://answers.usgs.gov/)

U.S. Department of the Interior (https://www.doi.gov/) | DOI Inspector General (https://www.doioig.gov/) |

White House (https://www.whitehouse.gov/) | E-gov (https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/management/egov/) |

No Fear Act (https://www.doi.gov/pmb/eeo/no-fear-act) | FOIA (https://www2.usgs.gov/foia)



Mineral Resources / Online Spatial Data / Geology / by state / Texas

Sparta Sa	nd	XML	JSON				
Sparta Sand							
State	Texas						
Name	Sparta Sand						
Geologic age	Eocene						
Lithologic constituents	Major Sedimentary > Clastic > Sandstone (Bed) Minor Sedimentary > Clastic > Mudstone > Claystone (Bed) Sedimentary > Clastic > Siltstone (Bed) Incidental Sedimentary > Coal (Bed)						
Comments	Quartz sand, v. fine to fine grained, lt. gray, v. pale orange, grayish-brn to brownish- gray, sl. cohesive from silt and clay matrix, massive, locally crossbedded, well sorted, micaceous, interbeds of sandy or silty clay, locally carbonaceous; some hard, brown ferruginous sandstone near base; lignite beds locally present near top, 130 +-ft thick in San Antonio Sheet (1974), 130-150 ft in Seguin Sheet (1974), 150-250 ft in Crystal City-Eagle Pass Sheet (1976)170 +-ft thick in Tyler Sheet (1964), 50+- ft in Texarkana Sheet (1966)						
References	Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, San Anto of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Econ 1:250,000.	nio She omic G	eet, Ge eology	eologic Atlas , scale			
	Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, Seguin S Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Econom 1:250,000.	heet, G nic Geo	eologi logy, s	c Atlas of cale			
	Bureau of Economic Geology, 1976, Crystal C Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, E Geology, scale 1:250,000.	ity-Eag Bureau	le Pass of Eco	s Sheet, nomic			
	Bureau of Economic Geology, 1965, Tyler she Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, Universi	et, Geo ty of Te	ologic A exas at	Atlas of Austin,			

scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1966, Texarkana Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1967, Palestine Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas at Austin, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1992, Geologic Map of Texas: University of Texas at Austin, Virgil E. Barnes, project supervisor, Hartmann, B.M. and Scranton, D.F., cartography, scale 1:500,000.

NGMDB product	NGMDB product page for 68390
Counties	Anderson - Angelina - Atascosa - Bastrop - Blanco - Brazos - Burleson - Camp - Cass - Cherokee - Fayette - Frio - Gillespie - Gonzales - Harrison - Henderson - Houston - Kimble - Lee - Leon - Marion - Mason - Menard - Milam - Morris - Nacogdoches - Robertson - Rusk - Sabine - San Augustine - Shelby - Smith - Upshur - Van Zandt - Wilson - Wood

DOI Privacy Policy | Legal | Accessibility | Site Map | Contact USGS

U.S. Department of the Interior | DOI Inspector General | White House | E-gov | No Fear Act | FOIA

(https://www.usgs.gov/)

Mineral Resources (https://www.usgs.gov/energy-and-minerals/mineral-resources-program)

- / Online Spatial Data (/) / Geology (/geology/) / by state (/geology/state/)
- / Texas (/geology/state/state.php?state=TX)

# Reklaw Formation, Carrizo Sand, and Wilcox and Midway Groups, undivided

XML (/geology/state/xml/TXEOPNu;0) JSON (/geology/state/json/TXEOPNu;0)

Shapefile (/geology/state/unit-shape.php?unit=TXEOPNu;0)

Reklaw Formation, Carrizo Sand, and Wilcox and Midway Groups, undivided

State	Texas (/geology/state/state.php?state=TX)				
Name	Reklaw Formation, Carrizo Sand, and Wilcox and Midway Groups, undivided				
Geologic age	Paleocene and Eocene				
Lithologic constituents	Major Sedimentary > Clastic > Mixed-clastic > Sandstone-mudstone (Bed) Incidental Sedimentary > Coal > Lignite (Bed)				
Comments	from top down: about 30-40 ft of quartz sand and silty clay, about 50 ft of quartz sand, about 700 ft of silty and sandy clay containing local beds of clay, lignite, silt and quartz sand; about 500-600 ft of clay.				
References	Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, Austin Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.				
	Bureau of Economic Geology, 1992, Geologic Map of Texas: University of Texas at Austin, Virgil E. Barnes, project supervisor, Hartmann, B.M. and Scranton, D.F., cartography, scale 1:500,000.				
NGMDB product	NGMDB product page for 68390 (https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Prodesc/proddesc_68390.htm)				
Counties	Smith (/geology/state/fips-unit.php?code=f48423)				

DOI Privacy Policy (https://www.doi.gov/privacy) | Legal (https://www.usgs.gov/laws/policies\_notices.html) | Accessibility (https://www2.usgs.gov/laws/accessibility.html) | Site Map (https://www.usgs.gov/sitemap.html) | Contact USGS (https://answers.usgs.gov/)

U.S. Department of the Interior (https://www.doi.gov/) | DOI Inspector General (https://www.doioig.gov/) | White House (https://www.whitehouse.gov/) | E-gov (https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/management/egov/) | No Fear Act (https://www.doi.gov/pmb/eeo/no-fear-act) | FOIA (https://www2.usgs.gov/foia)



# **Mineral Resources On-Line Spatial Data**

Mineral Resources > Online Spatial Data > Geology > by state > Texas

# **Terrace deposits**

Terrace deposits

State Texas

Name Terrace deposits

*Geologic age* Phanerozoic | Cenozoic | Quaternary | Pleistocene Holocene

Original map label Qt

Comments Sand, silt, clay, and gravel in various proportions, with gravel more prodominent in older, higher terrace deposits. Locally indurated with calcium carbonate (caliche) in terraces along streams. Along Colorado River clasts mostly limest., chert, guartz, and various igneous and metamorphic rocks from Llano region and Edwards Plateau. Includes point bar, natural levee, stream channel deposits along valley walls; probably in large part correlatives of Deweyville, Beaumont, Lissie, and Willis deposits. In upland regions (Rolling Plains, Edwards Plateau, etc.) unit includes fluvial terrace deposits, undivided. Light-brown, reddish-brown, gray, or yellowishbrown, gravelly guartz and lithic sand and silt to sandy gravel (Moore and Wermund, 1993). Deposits become increasingly fine grained on Coastal and Nueces Plains. Locally, calcium carbonate-cemented guartz sand, silt, clay, and gravel intermixed and interbedded. Low terraces of major rivers are capped by 2-4 m of clayey sand and silt. Sandy gravel on higher terraces varies somewhat in composition from river to river. Gravel commonly is rounded to angular limestone and chert pebbles and cobbles, some boulders, sparse igneous pebbles along Brazos river in places. In Bastrop Co., a deposit 27 m above Colorado River contains the Lava Creek B (Pearlette O) volcanic ash (age 0.6 Ma). Along the Frio, Leona, and Sabinal Rivers east of Uvalde, gravel is chiefly basalt and pyclastic clasts, locally cemented by iro oxide. Gravel along the Rio Grande is subrounded clasts of locally derived limestone and chert and rounded clasts of basalt, volcanic

porphyry, quartzite, milky quartz, and banded chalcedony derived from the west.

Primary rock type terrace Secondary rock type sand Other rock types gravel; silt; clay or mud Lithologic constituents Major Unconsolidated > Fine-detrital > Silt (Bed) Unconsolidated > Coarse-detrital > Sand (Bed) Minor Unconsolidated > Coarse-detrital > Gravel (Bed) Unconsolidated > Fine-detrital > Clay (Bed) Map references Bureau of Economic Geology, 1992, Geologic Map of Texas: University of Texas at Austin, Virgil E. Barnes, project supervisor, Hartmann, B.M. and Scranton, D.F., cartography, scale 1:500,000 Unit references Moore, D.W. and Wermund, E.G., Jr., 1993a, Quaternary geologic map of the Austin 4 x 6 degree quadrangle, United States: U.S. Geological

Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1420 (NH-14), scale 1:1,000,000.

[http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/i1420(NH14)]

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1975, Beeville-Bay City Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas at Austin, scale 1:250,000.

Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, Seguin Sheet, Geologic Atlas of Texas, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.

Counties Anderson - Angelina - Archer - Armstrong - Atascosa - Austin -Bandera - Bastrop - Baylor - Bee - Bell - Bexar - Blanco - Borden -Bosque - Bowie - Brazos - Brewster - Briscoe - Brown - Burleson -Burnet - Caldwell - Callahan - Camp - Cass - Cherokee - Childress -Clay - Coke - Coleman - Collin - Collingsworth - Colorado - Comal -Comanche - Concho - Cooke - Coryell - Cottle - Crane - Crosby -Dallam - Dallas - Delta - Denton - DeWitt - Dickens - Dimmit - Donley - Duval - Eastland - Ellis - Erath - Falls - Fannin - Fayette - Fisher -Foard - Franklin - Freestone - Frio - Garza - Gillespie - Glasscock -Goliad - Gonzales - Gray - Grayson - Gregg - Grimes - Guadalupe -Hall - Hamilton - Hansford - Hardeman - Hardin - Harris - Harrison -Hartley - Haskell - Hays - Hemphill - Henderson - Hidalgo - Hill - Hood - Hopkins - Houston - Hunt - Hutchinson - Jackson - Jasper - Jeff Davis - Jim Wells - Johnson - Jones - Karnes - Kaufman - Kendall - Kent -Kerr - Kimble - Kinney - Knox - Lamar - Lampasas - La Salle - Lavaca - Lee - Leon - Limestone - Lipscomb - Live Oak - Llano - McCulloch -McLennan - McMullen - Madison - Marion - Mason - Maverick - Medina -Menard - Midland - Milam - Mills - Mitchell - Montague - Montgomery -Moore - Morris - Motley - Nacogdoches - Navarro - Newton - Nolan -Oldham - Palo Pinto - Panola - Parker - Pecos - Polk - Potter - Rains -Reagan - Red River - Reeves - Refugio - Roberts - Robertson -Rockwall - Runnels - Rusk - Sabine - San Augustine - San Jacinto - San Patricio - San Saba - Schleicher - Scurry - Shackelford - Shelby -

Smith - Somervell - Starr - Stephens - Stonewall - Tarrant - Taylor -Throckmorton - Titus - Tom Green - Travis - Trinity - Tyler - Upshur -Uvalde - Val Verde - Van Zandt - Victoria - Walker - Waller -Washington - Webb - Wheeler - Wichita - Wilbarger - Williamson -Wilson - Wise - Wood - Young - Zapata - Zavala

Show this information as [XML] - [JSON]

U.S. Department of the Interior | U.S. Geological Survey URL: http://mrdata.usgs.gov/geology/state/sgmc-unit.php?unit=TXQt;0 Page Contact Information: Peter Schweitzer Appendix F - Unified Soil Classification System

## UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM



TERMS DESCRIBING SOIL CONSISTENCY							
Fine Grai	ned Soils	Coarse Grained Soils					
<u>Description</u> Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff	Penetrometer <u>Reading (tsf)</u> 0.0 to 1.0 1.0 to 1.5 1.5 to 3.0 3.0 to 4.5	Penetration Resistance (blows/ft) 0 to 4 4 to 10 10 to 30 30 to 50	Description Very Loose Loose Medium Dense Dense	Relative Density 0 to 20% 20 to 40% 40 to 70% 70 to 90%			